MIDLAND VALLEY HIGH 227 Mustang Drive Graniteville, SC 29829 9-12 High School GRADES 1,177 Students ENROLLMENT Margaret G. Mullen 803-593-7100 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Linda B. Eldridge 803-641-2428 Dr. John B. Bradley 803-641-2431 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: G00D Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 15 16 3 1 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: **EXCELLENT** ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: YES This school met 19 out of 19 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT:

WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM
WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Below Average	N/A
2002	Good	Below Average	N/A
2003	Good	Below Average	No
2004	Good	Excellent	Yes

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	I	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	
Passed 2 subtests	73.2	N/A	N/A	76.6	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	14.4	N/A	N/A	12.7	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	12.4	N/A	N/A	10.7	N/A	N/A	

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	95.0%	94.7%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	11.3	14.8
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	11.9	15.1
Seniors who met the grade point average	37.9	49.0

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	264	229		
Number of Diplomas	180	174		
Rate	68.2%	75.5%		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Gra	Graduation Rate		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	220	95.0	177	11.3	264	68.2	YES	
Gender								
Male	109	93.6	77	16.9	135	62.2	N/A	
Female	111	96.4	100	7.0	129	74.4	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	175	96.0	140	14.3	202	71.8	N/A	
African-American	41	92.7	35	0.0	59	55.9		
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	4	I/S	2	I/S	3	I/S	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	207	95.7	170	11.8	237	72.2	N/A	
Disabilities other than speech	13	84.6	7	0.0	27	33.3	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Non-migrant	219	95.0	177	11.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	0	N/A	3	I/S	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	219	95.0	177	11.3	261	68.6	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	70	88.6	59	0.0	109	49.5	N/A	
Full-pay meals	149	98.0	118	16.9	155	81.3	N/A	

Midland Valley High

HSAP PERFORMANCE	BY GRO	JUP		ш,		ш,			
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Basis	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation Participation
	Enrolly Pay of 1	/ %	% Beloi	/ %	% Pro	% Adv	% Profic	Perfor Objecti	Partic
Engli	/ ⊃ sh/Langua	ge Arts - S			/	/	<		
All Students	300	96.3	11.9	35.7	30.1	22.3	61.3	YES	YE
Gender									
Vale	138	95.7	15.6	30.3	36.1	18.0	62.3	N/A	l N/
Female -	162	96.9	8.8	40.1	25.2	25.9	60.5	N/A	N/
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	225	96.4	10.8	30.9	32.8	25.5	65.2	YES	YE
African-American	65	95.4	17.5	52.6	19.3	10.5	47.4	YES	YE
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I,
Hispanic	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I,
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I,
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	257	96.1	5.2	34.3	34.8	25.8	70.0	N/A	N.
Disabled	43	97.7	55.6	44.4	N/A	N/A	5.6	I/S	YE
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N,
Non-Migrant	300	96.3	11.9	35.7	30.1	22.3	61.3	N/A	N.
English Proficiency									
imited English Proficient	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I,
Non-Limited English Proficient	295	96.3	12.1	36.0	29.9	22.0	61.0	N/A	N,
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	149	94.6	20.5	40.2	22.8	16.5	47.2	YES	YE
Full-pay meals	151	98.0	4.2	31.7	36.6	27.5	73.9	N/A	N.
	Mathemati	cs - State	Performa	nce Obie	ctive = 30	.0%			
All Students	300	96.3	22.6	31.1	31.1	15.2	57.8	YES	YE
Gender		00.0	22.0	0	0111	10.2	01.0	. 20	
Male	138	97.1	24.2	25.8	31.5	18.5	58.9	N/A	N.
Female	162	95.7	21.2	35.6	30.8	12.3	56.8	N/A	N
Racial/Ethnic Group		-		-	-	14.0	-		
White	225	96.4	20.1	27.9	33.3	18.6	62.7	YES	YE
African-American	65	95.4	34.5	41.4	19.0	5.2	39.7	YES	YE
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	ı
Hispanic	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	ı
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	257	96.5	15.4	31.6	35.5	17.5	65.8	N/A	N.
Disabled	43	95.3	71.4	28.6	N/A	N/A	2.9	I/S	YE
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N,
Non-Migrant	300	96.3	22.6	31.1	31.1	15.2	57.8	N/A	N.
English Proficiency									
imited English Proficient	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I,
Non-Limited English Proficient	295	96.3	23.0	31.3	30.2	15.5	57.4	N/A	N.
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	149	95.3	29.7	32.0	28.1	10.2	49.2	YES	YE

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Midland Valley High				20100
SCHOOL PROFILE	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Mediar High Schoo
Students (n= 1,177)				
Retention rate Attendance rate	11.4% 94.1%	Up from 9.9% Down from 94.2%	9.5% 95.7%	9.1% 96.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	7.6% 13.5%	Up from 5.5% Up from 11.8%	8.3% 12.2%	5.8% 12.7%
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	11.6% 1.5%	Down from 12.1% Up from 1.4%	9.7% 2.0%	9.8% 1.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	6.1% 43.9%	Down from 6.5%	9.1% 51.0%	10.2% 53.8%
Annual dropout rate	7.8%	Up from 6.4%	3.7%	2.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	6.0%	Down from 8.8%	3.5%	3.6%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	630	Down from 667	471	466
Students participating in worked-based experiences	11.9%	Down from 18.2%	30.4%	25.7%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	71.6%	Down from 73.9%	80.0%	77.7%
Career/technology completers placed	93.0%	Up from 92.7%	97.6%	99.3%
Teachers (n= 65)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	50.8%	Up from 47.8%	54.2%	52.0%
Continuing contract teachers	75.4%	Down from 76.1%	83.8%	82.1%
Highly qualified teachers** Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	84.3% 8.9%	N/A	89.2% 6.8%	89.5% 8.6%
Teachers returning from previous year	86.9%	Down from 89.1%	86.3%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate	96.4%	Up from 96.0%	95.7%	95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$41,617	Up 3.5%	\$41,269	\$41,060
Prof. development days/teacher	5.2 days	Down from 6.6 days	9.8 days	10.6 days
School	0.0	He from 5.0	4.0	0.0
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	6.0 29.5 to 1	Up from 5.0 Down from 30.6 to 1	4.8 27.9 to 1	3.0 26.4 to 1
Prime instructional time	89.8%	Down from 93.6%	90.4%	90.0%
Oollars spent per pupil*	\$5,645	Up 4.5%	\$6,322	\$6,310
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	59.5%	Down from 60.3%	57.4%	57.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No change	Excellent	Excellen
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	38.9% Yes	Down from 42.5% No change	92.2% Yes	89.3% Yes
Character development program Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Average	N/A	Good	Good
		Our District		ate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty		90.4%		.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty	/ schools**	92.7%		.1%
		State Objective	Met State	Objective

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

65.0%

95.3%

Yes

No

Highly qualified teachers in this school**

Student attendance in this school

Midland Valley High 20100

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Midland Valley High School serves a diverse population of students from many small towns and communities, which make up the "Valley" area of Aiken County. Midland Valley High School's mission is to promote academic success, respect, life-long learning, and citizenship.

During the 2003-04 school year, MVHS successfully completed its re-accreditation process and met all standards set by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools. As part of this process, we established specific goals and action plans in the following areas for the five-year period beginning in 2004: Academic Achievement, Good Citizenship, and Technology Skills. Reaching our goals will require a dedicated effort by our teachers and other school and district personnel, our students, our parents, and community leaders.

Midland Valley High School offers a wide variety of course offerings. Reading and math assistance classes and tutorial services are offered to students needing remedial instruction and support. Advanced Placement classes are offered in English, calculus, biology, and American history. We believe it is important for our students to have the opportunity to pursue rigorous course offerings while still in high school. We find that students with a good work ethic and with the academic qualifications to do AP work profit tremendously from participation in these challenging classes.

The new and more difficult HSAP (High School Assessment Program) Exit Exam was administered in April for the first time to tenth graders. We were awaiting results at press time. Also, this year for the first time, students took end-of-course tests in Algebra 1/Math Tech 2. In the spring of 2005, students in English 1, Algebra 1/Math Tech 2, Physical Science, and Biology 1/Applied Biology 2 will take the end-of-course tests for these subjects. End-of-course tests count 20% of the course grade.

The SAT scores of the class of 2004 show a moderate increase from the class of 2003. According to our calculations, the average HIGHEST score of all seniors' attempts on the verbal subtest was 499 and the average HIGHEST score on the mathematics subtest was 503 for a total average of 1002. The average composite score of the MOST RECENT SAT taken by MVHS seniors was 993. The Class of 2004 was offered more than \$1,500,000 in college scholarships.

As one can see below, the teachers' and parents' ratings for "Satisfaction with Home-School Relations" is low for both groups. We believe these ratings indicate a need to provide opportunities for parents and teachers to collaborate and develop procedures to improve parent-teacher and teacher-parent communication, which will be a priority for our school in the coming year.

Midland Valley High School is celebrating its twenty-fifth year during the 2004-05 school year. Happy Birthday, MVHS!!

Margaret G. Mullen, Principal

Robert F. Sawyer, MVHS School Improvement Council, Chairman

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	62	228	74				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	94.9%	60.1%	64.9%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	90.3%	70.3%	59.5%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	57.6%	75.0%	34.7%				
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools with	out grade 11, only	the highest grade	was included.				